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1922. (All rights reserved.)
The object of this Guide-book is to give a general account of the Proboscidea or Elephants, referring especially to the various stages they passed through in the course of their evolution from the Eocene period to recent times.

Fortunately for the present purpose, the British Museum possesses the most extensive series of Proboscidea to be found anywhere, so that, except in very few instances, readers can see in the S.E. Gallery of Geology the actual specimens, or, at any rate, casts of the specimens, upon which the following descriptions are based, and can to some extent check the accuracy of the various statements for themselves.

Before proceeding to the description of the animals, it may be advisable to refer to the geological horizons or periods of the Earth's history during which they existed and in the rocks of which their fossil remains are found; for it is necessary to know the order in which the different forms appeared on the Earth, just as in tracing the pedigree of a human family.
the dates of the documents upon which it is founded must be known. It will be seen from Table 1 that the history of the life of the Earth falls into several great periods, to which names have been given by geologists. The earliest of these is called the Primary or Palæozoic Period, and during it the only backboned animals were fishes, amphibians (represented at the present time by newts, frogs, &c.), and, towards the end, some reptiles. In the next great period, the Secondary or Mesozoic, the reptiles were of the greatest importance: they were very numerous and some were of gigantic size. They

**Table 1.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cænozoic</th>
<th>Quaternary</th>
<th>Recent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pleistocene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pliocene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary</td>
<td></td>
<td>Miocene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oligocene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eocene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cretaceous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jurassic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Triassic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Permian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Carboniferous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Devonian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Silurian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ordovician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cambrian</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

became fitted for various modes of life, some inhabiting the land, others the sea; some living on a vegetable diet, others on flesh. During this period also the birds began to come into existence, and remains of the most remarkable of the early forms of birds, *Archæopteryx*, are shown in table-case 13. At the same time the first of the warm-blooded mammals arose, though they were as yet insignificant in size and numbers. The third period, the Cænozoic, is that with which we are chiefly concerned. During this the reptiles lost their importance, while, on the other hand, the mammals took their place, becoming extremely numerous, many of them
of great size and adapted to every kind of life and food. The latter part of this period, sometimes called the Quaternary, extends till the present day, and during it the mammals still continue to be the prominent backboned animals; but one of them, man, has become by far the most important inhabitant of the world, and, instead of merely being slowly fitted to new conditions of life, now to a large extent controls the conditions, and changes them to suit his own convenience. At the present day the different main sub-divisions of the Mammalia are, as a rule, very distinctly marked off from one another. For instance, the Carnivora (flesh-eaters, e. g., tiger, bear) are now widely different from the Ungulata (hoofed-animals, e. g., horse and ox), each of these groups being fitted for some special manner of life, and particularly for living on some special kind of food. Thus, the principal character of most of the Carnivora is the possession of sharp claws and teeth for killing and devouring other animals; while, on the other hand, the vegetarian Ungulata have teeth fitted for

![Diagram of pig dentition](image-url)
grinding vegetable matter and feet adapted solely for moving from place to place in search of pasturage. If, however, we trace back through the earlier periods of the Earth's Geological History the extinct animals from which these quite distinct modern groups are descended, we find that, in nearly all cases in which these earlier fossil forms are well known, there is a tendency for them to become more and more alike. In the early Eocene, indeed (see Table 1, p. 2), the Carnivora and Ungulata are not always to be distinguished from one another.

Fig. 2.

Skull and mandible of Striped Hyæna, showing the sharp cutting cheek-teeth adapted for a flesh diet. Lettering as in fig. 1.

with certainty, so that the animals from which they and some other mammals have descended may be placed in a single group. Nearly all these early mammals have certain characters in common: thus in most there are five toes on each foot and forty-four comparatively simply constructed teeth. Characters such as these are called "primitive," and when as time goes on they become gradually changed in different ways and adapted for particular purposes, they are said to be more "specialised." Thus in the horse the foot (fig. 3) is extremely specialised, in that it has only a single complete toe instead of
SPECIALISATION.

the primitive number, five: its limbs are specially fitted for swift movement over hard ground. To take another instance, the teeth of the tiger are said to be highly "specialised," because there are only thirty of the original forty-four, and these have become specially adapted for seizing living prey and cutting and tearing its flesh. It must be added that all the characters of a group of animals do not necessarily become specialised, but that some may remain in the primitive condition. Thus man, in some ways the most highly specialised of mammals, still retains the primitive number of five digits on both limbs.

Fig. 3.

Diagram showing the gradual loss of toes on the fore foot (a) and increase of complexity in the grinding teeth (b) of successive horse-like Ungulata from Europe, namely Hyracotherium (Eocene) 4, Anchitherium (Miocene) 3, Hipparion (Pliocene) 2, Equus (Pleistocene and Recent) 1.

In order to trace back a modern specialised group of mammals to its early primitive ancestors, a long series of fossil remains from the successive geological periods is necessary. Unfortunately, in many cases these fossils have yet to be found, but every year further discoveries are made and gaps of more or less importance are filled up. The series of changes undergone by a group of mammals is perhaps best known in the horse
family *, but recent discoveries of remains of early forms of the elephant group in the Eocene and Oligocene beds of the Libyan Desert in Egypt have made it possible to trace the history of the elephants also with considerable accuracy and completeness.

In the fossil mammals it is the teeth that are of the greatest importance in settling the relationships of different species to one another, and in forming an opinion as to their food and probable manner of life.

The reason for the importance of fossil teeth is that, while in many ways they are very conservative, long retaining traces of the earlier forms from which they have originated, nevertheless they readily undergo change in accordance with the kind of food they are called upon to seize and masticate. Moreover, from their hardness they are more frequently preserved than most other parts of the skeleton. It will be well, therefore, before considering the teeth of the Proboscidea to give a short account of mammalian teeth in general, so that the later descriptions may be understood.

The tooth of a mammal (see "Mammalia" in Index collection in Central Hall, Bay I.) consists of a root or roots embedded in a socket in the jaw, and a crown which is exposed and is adapted for the work it has to perform. In a section of a tooth (fig. 4) it is seen that the greater part is composed of a hard material called dentine, and that the crown of the tooth is usually covered with a still harder substance known as enamel, while in some cases the root, and in others (usually the more complicated forms) both root and crown, may be coated with a softer bony matter, called cement. The form of the crown differs enormously in different animals and in different parts of the mouth: it may be a simple cone like the canine or dog-tooth, or it may form a large complicated grinding surface as in the back teeth of the horse. The teeth are usually divided into different series according to their particular position in the mouth and the duties they have to perform (see figs. 1 & 2). The front teeth implanted in the anterior part of the jaw are

* Casts of specimens showing the gradual "specialisation" of the teeth and feet in the horses are shown in a case in the North Hall. See also Pier-case 10 and Table-case 5 in Gallery of Fossil Mammals.
mainly concerned in grasping and biting off the food: these are called incisors. In mammals which, like the pig (fig. 1), possess the primitive number of teeth, there are three of these on either side in both upper and lower jaws. Behind these come the canines, one on each side above and below; these are generally more or less pointed teeth, serving chiefly for fighting or defence. Behind these again are the cheek-teeth, which have to do mainly

Diagrammatic section of various teeth.
I. Section of tusk of elephant, a permanently growing tooth. II. Section of a young human incisor still growing, the root not yet fully formed. III. Section of human incisor fully formed, the root being complete. IV. Section of human molar showing the low-crowned (brachyodont) condition, the cusps being rounded tubercles (bunodont). V. Section of the molar of an ox, showing the high (hypsodont) complexly folded crown. In the figures the enamel is black, the pulp white, the dentine represented by horizontal lines, the cement by dots.
with the breaking up of the food before it is swallowed: in the complete dentition there are seven of these on either side in both jaws and they are divided into two groups, (1) four premolars in front and (2) three molars behind: the premolars, or at least those posteriorly, replace the milk-molars of the young animal, while the molars have no predecessors. It is in the premolars and molars that the greatest variety of structure is found, as might be expected, because it is these teeth that are most affected by the nature of the food. Teeth suitable for cutting up flesh would be quite unfitted for grinding hard vegetable matter, and consequently in animals feeding on soft material the teeth differ widely from those in which the food is hard and requires much mastication. In the former the crowns of the teeth are low and their cusps or tubercles are either sharp and cutting in the case of flesh-feeders (e.g., lions and tigers) or rounded (bunodont) in the case of animals feeding on a soft vegetable or mixed diet (e.g., pigs and bears). Teeth of this low-crowned sort are called brachyodont (fig. 4, IV). In the case of animals whose food is hard and requires much grinding, the wear of the teeth is so great that simply-constructed low crowns would be quickly worn out, and it must be remembered that the length of an animal's life is largely dependent on the time during which its teeth remain in good working order. To meet the increased wear the crown of the tooth becomes higher and moves up in the gum as wear takes place, sometimes throughout the animal's life or only for a time; teeth of this high-crowned sort are called hypsodont (fig. 4, V). With this increase in height of the crown there is generally greater complication, resulting from the infolding of the enamel in various ways and the development of cement on the crown as well as on the roots. One example of this gradual increase of height and complication is about to be described in the case of the elephants; another extremely good instance is found in the gradual evolution of the teeth in the horses, as excellently illustrated in the case in the North Hall and in Table-case 5 (see fig. 3).

From the above account it will be seen that when the full number of teeth is present there are three incisors, one canine, four premolars, and three molars on each side in the upper jaw,
and the same in the lower jaw. This is usually expressed shortly by a formula, thus:—I. $^3_3$, C. $^1_1$, Pm. $^4_4$, M. $^3_3 = \frac{11}{11}$, the letters denoting the kind of teeth, the upper numbers the number of each kind on one side in the upper jaw, the lower numbers those in the lower jaw, so that in the example above given there would be eleven teeth on each side in both the upper and lower jaws, or forty-four in all. As has already been mentioned, a greater or less number of these teeth may be wanting in different animals and the formula will differ accordingly: thus in man it is I. $^2_2$, C. $^1_1$, Pm. $^2_2$, M. $^3_3 = \frac{8}{8}$ or thirty-two in all. In the cat it is I. $^3_3$, C. $^1_1$, Pm. $^3_3$, M. $^1_1 = \frac{8}{7}$ or thirty in all.

Among living mammals the elephants are perhaps the most remarkable. Not only do they exceed in size all other living land-animals, but they are further distinguished by the possession of a mobile trunk or proboscis, which is at once a sensitive organ of touch and a most efficient means of grasping objects, both large and small. Furthermore, the structure of their teeth reaches a degree of complication not to be found in any other animals. At the same time, though in many respects so peculiar, in others they retain primitive characters that have been lost in most of the other Ungulata, with which they are usually classed. The most notable of these primitive characters is the presence of the original five toes on each foot; while in most hoofed-animals the feet have become "specialised" by the loss of one or more of the digits.

It is now proposed to describe some of the principal stages by which the elephants gradually came to be what they are at the present day, and to show that the earliest-known forms were much like other primitive hoofed-animals, a condition to which the pigs and tapirs among living mammals perhaps most nearly approach. It will be shown that the earliest-known animal belonging to the Proboscidea or elephants was, in fact, not unlike a large pig (see fig. 8), though in some respects an even more primitive creature. From this beginning we can trace a gradual increase in size in the later forms, a gradual development of the trunk or proboscis, first as the
upper part of a long snout supported by the elongated lower jaw, afterwards as the familiar movable organ so characteristic of the modern elephants. We can also observe the gradual increase in the size and degree of complication of the grinding-teeth, accompanied by the complete loss of many of the teeth found in the earlier forms. Finally, we have materials for discussing the probable relationship of the elephants to some other groups of animals.

The table on page 11 shows what are the chief forms of Proboscideans living at the different periods and their distribution over the world. It will be seen that the earliest mammal which can be definitely called a Proboscidean is Moeritherium, a small tapir-like creature from the Upper Eocene beds of the Fayûm district of Egypt. This genus existed also in the Lower Oligocene of the same region, but was then accompanied by a larger and much more elephant-like animal, Palæomastodon. At this time Africa was cut off from the rest of the world to the north by a broad and deep sea which extended from the Atlantic to the Pacific by way of Northern India and Southern China, and the separation of Africa prevented these early forms of elephant from wandering into other regions till after the Lower Oligocene. After Palæomastodon there is a large gap in the series, no fossil Proboscidea having yet been found in the Upper Oligocene, though no doubt their remains will be discovered somewhere in the fresh-water deposits of that age in Egypt. Hitherto no Proboscidean bones and teeth have been met with again till the Miocene, but in rocks of that period they are found abundantly, not only in Egypt, but in Europe, Asia, and North America. It is therefore clear that during the long lapse of time after the Eocene, the deep sea above referred to must to some extent have been replaced by land, over which the early elephants could spread outwards from their home in Africa. The vast changes in the distribution of land and water that took place in this region will be apparent when it is understood that rocks crowded with the shells that lived at the bottom of this ancient sea are to-day found thousands of feet above sea-level in India and elsewhere.

From the Miocene period onwards we meet with elephant-like
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geological Epoch</th>
<th>Europe</th>
<th>Asia</th>
<th>Africa</th>
<th>N. America</th>
<th>S. America</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recent</td>
<td>.......</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.......</td>
<td>.......</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleistocene</td>
<td>.......</td>
<td>Elephas (E. maximus)</td>
<td>Elephas (E. africanus)</td>
<td>Elephas and Stegodon</td>
<td>Mastodon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mastodon.</td>
<td>Stegodon.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tetrabelodon.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Oligocene</td>
<td>.......</td>
<td>.......</td>
<td>Paleomastodon.</td>
<td>Moeritherium.</td>
<td>.......</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eocene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.......</td>
<td>.......</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>.......</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.......</td>
<td>.......</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>.......</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.......</td>
<td>.......</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table showing the Geographical Distribution of the Proboscidea at Different Geological Periods.
animals in great variety all over the Northern Hemisphere, wherever suitable deposits for the preservation of their remains occur. At the end of the Pliocene period the group also spread into South America, but at the present day it is totally wanting in the whole Western Hemisphere.

During the later Miocene and Pliocene periods the headquarters of these animals seem to have been in India, for it is there that we meet with the greatest number and variety of forms, showing all grades of structure between the Miocene types above referred to and elephants almost like those now existing. From the end of the Pliocene to the beginning of the Quaternary Period may be regarded as the time during which the elephants reached their most flourishing condition, both in the number of kinds that existed and in the wide range over which they were spread. After this a gradual decline in the group took place, till, at the present day, it is represented by two species only, the African elephant confined to Tropical Africa, and the Indian elephant found in India, Ceylon, Burma, the Malay Peninsula, and some of the neighbouring islands. But for restrictions placed upon their slaughter, even these last remnants of one of the oldest, and in many ways the most remarkable, groups of mammals would soon disappear, just as has happened, for instance, in the case of the great ground-sloths of South America, the giant lemurs of Madagascar, and the giant marsupials such as Diprotodon in Australia.

A more detailed account of the changes that the Proboscidea have passed through will now be given, the following animals being selected for description as representing six of the most important of the successive stages at present known:—

1. Moeritherium, Upper Eocene and Lower Oligocene.
2. Palæomastodon, Lower Oligocene.
3. Tetrabelodon angustidens, Miocene.
4. , , longirostris, Lower Pliocene.
5. Stegodon insignis, Pliocene.

Some reference will also be made to other types, such as Dinotherium and Mastodon.
ANCESTRY OF ELEPHANTS.

Fig. 5.

Recent
Pleistocene
Upper Pliocene ELEPHAS
(short chin)

Lower Pliocene TETRABELODON
[LONGIROSTRIS STAGE]
(�hortening chin)

Upper Miocene TETRABELODON
[ANGUSTIDENS STAGE]
(long chin)

Middle Miocene
Lower Miocene

Upper Oligocene Migration from Africa into Europe—Asia

Lower Oligocene PALAEOMASTODON
(ditto ditto)
(lengthening chin)

Upper Eocene MOERITHERIUM
(ditto)
(short chin)

Lower Eocene

Diagram showing some stages in the gradual increase in size, and alteration in form, of the skull and mandible occurring in the Proboscidea from the Eocene to the present day.
MOERITHERIUM.

*Moeritherium* (figs. 6, 7, 8) (Wall-case 43; Table-case 24) was an animal about the size of the tapir, which it must have much resembled in general appearance. It was common in the region that is now known as the Fayum in Lower Egypt, where its fossil remains occur in considerable quantities in the Upper Eocene beds, intermingled with bones of toothed whales (*Zeuglodon*), sea-cows (*Eosiren*), marine turtles (*Psephophorus* and *Thalassochelys*), and snakes (*Pterosphenus*), as well as skeletons of fishes. From this mixture of land and aquatic animals it may be concluded that *Moeritherium* lived near the shore, probably in swamps at the mouth of a great river, where the remains of both marine and of drowned land-animals would be mingled and entombed together in the muds and clays, which accumulated in the estuary and now make up much of the strata found in this locality. In the Lower Oligocene beds, overlying those just described, remains of *Moeritherium* are also found; here, however, there is no intermingling of marine animals, but instead we find remains of many remarkable land-mammals, crocodiles, and immense quantities of trunks of fossil trees embedded in the sands and gravels of a great river. Probably both the animals and the tree-trunks were swept away by floods, their remains piled up in shallows and places where the current was slack, and buried in the mud and sand carried down by the stream.

The skull of *Moeritherium* (see fig. 6) differs in no very marked manner from that of other primitive hoofed-animals, and shows scarcely any trace of the peculiarities of the skulls of the later *Proboscidea*. The most important feature is the large nasal opening not quite at the end of the snout, the nasal bones being short; this indicates that probably there was already a short proboscis, something like that of the tapir. Another interesting point is that some of the bones at the back of the skull are thickened and contain air-chambers; in the later elephants this development of air-cells is carried to such an extent that the whole form of the skull, particularly the
posterior portion, is entirely altered by it (see the broken skull of the Indian elephant in Gallery). The reason for this swelling of the bones is that, as the head becomes heavier, owing in great part to the development of the trunk and tusks, a larger surface for the attachment of the muscles which support the head is necessary, and even in the small Eocene Moeritherium change in this direction had begun.

In Moeritherium the dental formula (see p. 9) is I. \( ^3_2 \), C. \( ^1_0 \), Pm. \( ^3_0 \), M. \( ^3_0 = \frac{10}{8} \) or thirty-six in all (figs. 5 & 7). From the formula it will be seen that in the upper jaw only one premolar is wanting to complete the primitive number, while in the

Skull and lower jaw of Moeritherium from the Upper Eocene of the Fayüm, Egypt. \( \frac{1}{4} \) nat. size.

ant. orb., antorbital foramen; c., canine; ex. oc., exoccipital; fr., frontal; i. 1–3, incisors; ju., jugal; m. 1–3, molars; mx., maxilla; n., nasal; p. a., parietal; par., paroccipital; pm. 2–4, premolars; p. mx., premaxilla; pt., post-tympanic process of squamosal; s. oc., supra-occipital; sq., squamosal.

lower jaw an incisor and the canine are missing on each side in addition to one premolar.

Of the upper incisors the second pair (i. 2) are greatly enlarged and form strong downwardly directed tusks, the beginning of the great tusks so characteristic of the later elephants. The canine (c.) seems to have been quite small and unimportant, being on the way to disappearance. The premolars (pm.) are separated from the canine by a short interval, and, as already mentioned, the anterior one of the full dentition is wanting. The remaining premolars are all simpler in
structure than the molars behind them, and consist of three main cusps only, the two front cusps being arranged in a transverse line in the third and fourth premolars. These teeth are preceded by milk-teeth which they displace from above as in the ordinary mammals; in the later elephants we shall see that this usual replacement of milk-teeth by premolars is gradually lost.

![Diagram of teeth]

Fig. 7.

Upper and lower teeth of *Moeritherium.*
A. Upper teeth. B. Premaxilla, large tusk-like second incisor. C. Mandible from other side. c., socket of canine; i. 1-3, incisors; m. 1-3, molars; pm. 2-4, premolars. ¼ nat. size.

The molars (fig. 7 A, m.) are the most interesting and important of the teeth, because it is in them that the most nearly complete series of gradually more and more complicated forms can be traced. In *Moeritherium* the crown of each upper molar is composed of two transversely arranged pairs of knobs, giving rise to a pair of transverse crests; there are also in many cases small posterior knobs—the first trace of the tendency to increase the number of transverse crests by additions to the back of the tooth, which is characteristic of the whole group.
In the lower jaw (figs. 6 & 7 C) the middle incisors (i 1) are small, the second pair (i 2) large and tusk-like; both are directed forward and their upper surface continues forward the surface of the spout-like anterior portion of the jaw. The third incisors, the canine, and the first premolar of the full dentition are wanting. The remaining three premolars (pm.), which replace milk-molars, are simpler than the molars, and only in the
third and fourth is there any arrangement of the anterior cusps to form a transverse ridge. The first and second molars (fig. 7 C), like those of the upper jaw, consist of two transversely-arranged pairs of knobs and a posterior knob which is larger than in the upper teeth. The last lower molar (fig. 10 A) has a third ridge forming a sort of heel or talon, as it is called; this tooth, though much smaller, is remarkably similar to the molars of some of the earlier forms of Mastodon.

The skeleton of Moeritherium is not well known, but some of the most important points in its structure are:—(1) the neck is proportionately longer than in the later elephants in which it is much shortened, (2) the hip-bones are narrow, while in the later forms, owing to the great increase in size and weight, they are much expanded. The humerus also is rather different, particularly at its lower end, the great supinator ridge, so characteristic of the larger Proboscidea, being scarcely developed. On the other hand, the thigh-bone is extremely like that of a very small elephant.

To sum up the primitive characters of Moeritherium:—

1. The skull differs little from that of an ordinary ungulate.
2. There is a full set of three pairs of incisors in the upper, and only one pair wanting in the lower jaw.
3. There is a canine on each side in the upper jaw.
4. There are three premolars in the upper and lower jaws replacing milk-molars.
5. The molars have only two transverse ridges and a small hind lobe; each transverse ridge is composed of two distinct cusps; the third lower molar has also a heel or talon.

Some of the more important of the Proboscidean characters are:—

1. The large size of the external nasal opening, its somewhat backward situation, and the small size of the nasal bones.
2. The commencement of the development of air-cells in the bones of the back of the skull.
3. The enlargement of the second incisors in both jaws to form tusks.

4. The transversely ridged character of the molars.

5. The spout-like anterior portion of the lower jaw.

All these characters become much more marked in the next stage, which is represented by *Palæomastodon* from the Upper Eocene of Egypt.

**PALÆOMASTODON.**

The genus *Palæomastodon* (figs. 9–11, 13 A) (Wall-case 43; Table-case 24) is represented by species varying in size from an animal little larger than *Moeritherium* to one nearly as large as a small elephant, so that in size alone there is a great advance in the direction of the modern elephants. In the structure of the skull and teeth, as well as in the rest of the skeleton, so far as known, the advance is likewise very striking.

In the skull (see fig. 9) the opening of the nostril (*nar.*) has shifted far back from near the end of the snout, though it is still in front of the orbit of the eye. The nasal bones are still shorter and smaller than in *Moeritherium*. At the back of the skull the development of air-cells in some of the bones has...
enormously increased, but has not yet invaded the roof of the skull, so that the sides are only separated by a sharp median crest. The posterior surface of the skull slopes forward above the condyles, and there is a deep pit in the middle line for the attachment of the muscles necessary to support the increasingly heavy head.

Of the incisor teeth in the upper jaw only the second pair now remains, and these have been still further enlarged, forming downwardly directed, curved, somewhat flattened tusks with a broad band of enamel along their outer sides only. The canine has disappeared, but there are still three premolars replacing milk-molars. The anterior premolar is a simple cone, while the crown of the posterior one consists of two

![Posterior lower molars ($m_2, m_3$) of (A) Moeritherium and (B) Palaeomastodon, showing the increase in the number of ridges of Palaeomastodon. $\frac{1}{2}$ nat. size.](image-url)
transverse ridges, these teeth being subjected to two distinct influences, namely, the tendency to the reduction in the front of the series and the tendency to become more like the molars at the back. The three molars themselves show a distinct advance, the crown of each consisting of three transverse ridges, each ridge composed primarily of two main cusps which may, however, show small traces of sub-division into secondary cusps.

The mandible (figs. 9 & 13 A) differs from that of *Moeritherium* in the much greater prolongation of the spout-like anterior portion; this now projects a considerable distance in front of the skull and is prolonged still further forward by the single remaining (second) pair of incisor teeth, which meet in the middle line and from a sort of shovel-shaped extension; the edges are worn both on the upper and lower surface, so that these teeth were probably used for grubbing about in the ground to procure food, and the upper surface must have been further worn by working against the lower surface of the trunk or elongated upper lip.

There are only two premolars in the lower jaw, the anterior of the three milk-molars (figs. 9 & 13 A) falling out without being replaced from below; the molars are three in number, the two anterior with three transverse ridges, the third sometimes having in addition a small heel (fig. 10 B). It should be noticed that in the full-grown animal all the molars and premolars are in position and use at the same time; it will be seen that in the later forms of elephant-ancestors this is not so.

The skeleton, so far as known, is almost exactly like that of a small elephant, the only important differences being that the neck is longer and the limbs most likely less massive. The animal, as a whole (fig. 11), must have been very like a small elephant, but would be distinguishable by the longer head and neck, and by the fact that instead of possessing a flexible trunk it had a long snout, the lower portion consisting of the elongated lower jaw, the upper without bony support and probably extending beyond the lower; the projecting portion most likely was more or less flexible and capable of seizing objects, and was the beginning of the prehensile trunk.
The chief steps taken by *Palæomastodon* in advance of *Moeritherium* towards greater likeness to the later elephants are:

1. Considerable increase in size.
2. Lengthening of the snout, as shown by the mandible.
3. Loss of canines and all the incisors except the second pair in both jaws.
4. Three-ridged molars.
5. Greater development of air-cells at back of skull.
6. Shifting further back of the nose-opening and smaller size of the nasal bones.
7. Greater similarity of the bones of the skeleton to those of ordinary elephants.

**TETRABELODON.**

The next stage is found in *Tetrabelodon angustidens* (figs. 12–14, 16) (Pier-cases 41, 42; Table-case 23), from the Lower Miocene of Northern Africa, Europe, and probably Asia. This animal is as large as a medium-sized elephant, and its teeth and

---

**Skull and mandible of *Tetrabelodon angustidens*, showing the greatly elongated chin with a pair of terminal cutting incisors ( Li.), from the Lower and Middle Miocene, France. \( \frac{1}{20} \) nat. size.**

*nar.*, position of opening of nose; *u.i.*, upper incisors.
skull are much more elephant-like than in *Palæomastodon*. Thus the nostrils have shifted still further back, and the great development of air-cells in the bones at the back of the skull has led to the disappearance of the ridge along the middle of the roof, which is now flat. Further, the upper tusks, which were quite small and flattened in *Palæomastodon*, are now large and round, and differ from those of a modern elephant only in curving downward instead of upward, and in having a band of enamel along the outer side relatively much

![Fig. 13.](image)

Lower milk-dentition of (A) *Palæomastodon*, (B) *Tetrabelodon angustidens*. Showing some of the milk-teeth *in situ*, with the germs of replacing premolars. The germs of the 2nd molars are shown at the back of the jaw. About $\frac{1}{2}$ nat. size.

*i.*, incisor; *m*. 1-2, permanent molars; *mm*. 3-4, third and fourth milk-molars; *mm.*, socket of second milk-molar; *pm*. 3-4, premolars; *sym.*, symphysis of mandible.

narrower than in *Palæomastodon*, in which nearly the whole outer face of the tooth is enamel-clad. As the tusks increase in size, the dentine of which they are composed acquires a peculiar structure, which is shown in transverse sections of the tusks (see
Table-case 24), and appears as a series of crossing lines curving out from the middle of the tooth and giving a pattern like the engine-turning on the case of a watch. This is quite peculiar to elephant-tusks, and by it even small pieces of elephant ivory can be at once distinguished. This structure depends on the frequent bending of the tubules which make up the dentine, and one result of it is, that true ivory is one of the most perfectly elastic of substances, and is therefore specially suitable for making billiard balls. This form of ivory is not found in either the upper or lower tusks of Moeritherium and Palæomastodon or in the lower tusks of Dinotherium, and appears for the first time in the large upper tusks of Tetrabelodon angustidens. In some of the American Tetrabelodonts in which the lower tusks are very large, this structure seems to occur.

In the cheek-teeth of Tetrabelodon angustidens (fig. 16 A) great changes have also taken place. The milk-molars are still replaced by premolars, but these are quickly dropped out (fig. 13 B). This is chiefly the result of the great increase in size of the true molars, particularly of the third, which is not accompanied by a corresponding increase in the length of the tooth-bearing portion of the jaws, so that there is not room for the premolars and molars to remain in position at the same time. The consequence of this is, that as the posterior molars are cut they move forward to take up their position in the jaw, thrusting out the teeth in front of them so that in the adult only the two large back molars remain on each side in both jaws, and in old individuals perhaps only the last is left. This mode of replacement is shown in fig. 13 B. In this species, while the first and second molars still have only three ridges, as in Palæomastodon, the last commonly has five, and all are proportionately very large.

In the mandible (fig. 12) the anterior portion is extremely long and projects much further beyond the skull than it does in Palæomastodon; and, indeed, it is in this species that we have the greatest degree of lengthening of the lower jaw. The two lower incisors, as in Palæomastodon, help to add to the length, and were no doubt used for grubbing in the earth. The remarks
made about the upper cheek-teeth are equally true of the lower. The neck seems to have been a little longer and more flexible than in the modern elephant, but the limbs and other parts were much the same. This animal when living (fig. 14) must have been still more like an elephant than *Palæo-mastodon*, and the most noticeable difference would be that here also, instead of the flexible trunk, there was a long stiff

Fig. 14.

Restoration of *Tetrabelodon angustidens*.

snout, which was supported by the elongated front of the lower jaw. Probably the end of the upper lip and nose was free and movable, and may even have been able to grasp objects to some extent, but the whole arrangement seems to have been rather clumsy. In most groups of animals as size increases the length of the neck becomes greater in proportion, so that the animal can still reach the ground; but in these early elephants, in spite of
the great increase in size, the neck actually shortened, and it was only this extraordinary lengthening of the snout that enabled the animals to reach the ground. It seems certain that all the sub-divisions of the Proboscidea must have passed through this longirostrine stage.

The next stage in this strange history is found in *Tetrabelodon longirostris* (fig. 15, 16) (Pier-cases 41, 42; Table-case

Fig. 15.

Skull and mandible of *Tetrabelodon longirostris*, from the Lower Pliocene, Eppelsheim, Hesse-Darmstadt.

1, lower incisor; m. 2–3, second and third molars. About \( \frac{1}{2} \) nat. size.

23), an elephant of which the remains are common in the Lower Pliocene of Eppelsheim in Germany and other localities. In
this animal the skull, so far as known, does not differ to any great extent from that of *Tetrabelodon angustidens*. The teeth, however, have advanced considerably in size and complication. The first and second molars may have four or five transverse ridges, while in the last there may be as many as six ridges (fig. 16 B). Only one of the milk-molars is now replaced by a premolar, and both this and the other milk-molars are early pushed out by the forward growth of the large molars, only two of which at most on each side remain
in position in old animals. It is in the lower jaw, however, that the chief changes have taken place. Here the

Fig. 17.

Mandible of *Tetrabelodon (Rhynchotherium) dinotherioides*, from the Loup Fork Beds, North-Western Kansas.

A. Right side of mandible. \( \frac{1}{2} \) nat. size.
B. Upper view of entire mandible. \( \frac{1}{2} \) nat. size.
C. Upper view of third right lower molar. \( \frac{1}{4} \) nat. size.

*alv.*, alveolus of tusk; *cond.*, condyle; *cor.*, coronoid process; *m³*, third lower molars.

elongated anterior part (fig. 15), so striking in the last type, has become shortened till it projects but little in advance of the
skull, and, although its upper surface is still deeply grooved and spout-like as in the earlier forms, the lower incisors no longer meet in the middle line and prolong the spout, but are rounded, directed downward, and separated from one another. In this animal it is clear that the lower jaw was shortening up and could no longer reach the ground, but doubtless the fleshy upper lip and nose, now freed from their bony support for at least part of their length, became flexible and better adapted for grasping the animal's food. In fact, this species must have looked much the same as a modern elephant, except that it had a longer chin bearing a pair of small downwardly directed tusks.

In some of the American Tetrabelodons of about the same age as T. longirostris, the lower tusks, instead of undergoing reduction, seem to have become greatly enlarged, and at the same time the symphysial portion of the mandible is slightly deflected, so that the mandible with its tusks is to some degree similar to that of Dinotherium. An example of this form of jaw is seen in the case of the mandible of a Tetrabelodon (T. dinotherioides) from the Loup Fork Beds (Upper Miocene) of Kansas, exhibited in Pier-case 42 (fig. 17).

DINOTHERIUM.

Tetrabelodon dinotherioides has no near relationship with Dinotherium (fig. 18) (Wall-case 43; Case C), which forms a side branch of the Proboscidea, and is widely different from all the other members of the group. The earliest-known member of the genus is Dinotherium cuvieri, a comparatively small animal, which is found in the same deposits as the earliest-known remains of Tetrabelodon angustidens, and, as in the case of that species, its ancestors probably lived in Africa, though up to the present no traces of them have been discovered. In the later Miocene beds occur a number of species, some of enormous size (e. g., D. gigantissimum from Roumania). The genus finally disappears in Lower Pliocene times. The chief peculiarity of these animals is that the front part of their lower jaw is turned sharply downward and bears two large tusks (fig. 18, Case C); probably there were no tusks in the upper jaw. The skull is remarkable for the great expansion of the occipital
surface, which is strongly inclined forward: there seems to have been scarcely any development of spongy bone in the occipital region. The teeth are of a much simpler character than those found in the Mastodon-elephant line. In the upper jaw of the adult there are two premolars, both simpler than the molars; of these molars the anterior one consists of three transverse crests, the other two of two crests only, a notable peculiarity, since in the other Proboscidea it is the hindmost molar which is the most complex. The posterior milk-molar also has three crests. In the lower jaw in addition to the down-turned tusks there are two premolars and three molars. As in the upper jaw, the premolars are simpler than the molars: the anterior molar has three crests, the second and third two only, though in the last there may be a trace of a third. In the young there are three milk-teeth, the hinder one having
three crests, like the anterior true molar. All the molars and premolars remain in use throughout the animal's life, a condition already lost in the earliest Tetrabelodons. It has been suggested that *Dinotherium* was an aquatic animal, but there is nothing in the structure of the limbs to give any support to this idea, though the lowness of the crowns of the teeth probably indicates that it lived on soft herbaceous vegetation, such as may have grown in swampy places.
In *Tetrabelodon longirostris* the main characteristics of the modern elephants are already established, and the later changes of importance include, (1) the still further reduction of the mandibular symphysis and the loss of the lower incisors, and (2) the great increase in the size and complication of the cheek-teeth. It will be convenient to consider these changes separately.

In the shortening of the chin the next stage is found in Pliocene forms like *Mastodon atticus* (Pier-case 37) from the Lower Pliocene of Pikermi. In this the symphysis, though much shortened, is still more or less spout-like, and in very young individuals the incisors may be present, though they are soon shed; the absence of these teeth in the adult is the chief character distinguishing the genus *Mastodon* (fig. 19) from *Tetrabelodon*. One of the best-known species belonging to this stage of development is *Mastodon arvernensis* (Pier-cases 37-40), which is found in Europe in Pliocene deposits; in it the lower incisors seem to be entirely wanting and the anterior molars have four transverse ridges. A nearly allied species, *M. sivalensis* (fig. 21), from the Pliocene of India, is notable as showing a tendency to acquire five ridges to its molars.

The region in which the passage from the Mastodons to the true elephants occurred seems to have been Southern Asia, where, in a succession of Pliocene and Pleistocene deposits, there is a complete series of forms passing from the Mastodon up to the recent Indian Elephant (Pier-cases 34-37). How far these changes may have gone on in the rest of the Northern Hemisphere is not known, but the history of the Mastodons in America is rather different from that of the Old World forms. The Proboscidea make their first appearance in North America in the Miocene, and are there represented by a great variety of forms. Some (see pages 29-30) have the lower tusks much enlarged and borne in a down-turned symphysis; these are regarded by Professor Osborn as belonging to a distinct genus *Rhynchotherium*; one of them is shown in figure 17. Others are straight-jawed Tetrabelodonts, some with comparatively short symphyses (e.g., *T. productus*), some with the
ELEPHANTS.

Symphysis greatly elongated (e.g., T. giganteus). These with the later Mastodons probably represent several waves of immigration from Asia. Somewhere about the beginning of the Pliocene some of these North American forms, probably short-jawed Tetrabelodonts, migrated into South America, where several peculiar species are found which persisted with little change till the Pleistocene. Probably the reason why these Mastodons, as well as M. americanus (Stand B) of North America, continued in a comparatively primitive condition is to be found in their isolation and freedom from competition. One South American species, M. andium (Pier-cases 39, 40), is particularly interesting on account of its variability, especially in the length of the chin and in the presence or absence of the lower tusks. Some of these differences are due to sex and, perhaps age, but the variations are no doubt mainly due to the fact that the symphysis and lower tusks were undergoing reduction and that the latter were about to disappear altogether. Neither in North nor in South America does it appear that the Mastodons gave rise to more advanced types, and the presence in the Pleistocene of North America of true elephants (E. columbi and E. primigenius) is due to immigration from Asia. No species of Elephas reached South America.

To return to the series of stages of development found in Southern Asia, the first species that need be considered is Mastodon cautleyi (Table-case 23), which in the character of its
teeth is nearly related to *Tetrabelodon longirostris*, but the ridges of the molars are comparatively higher. There is, however, as yet no cement in the valleys (fig. 20), which are more or less obstructed by small tubercles, and some of the cusps wear into a trefoil pattern, as in *Tetrabelodon angustidens* and *T. longirostris*. While, however, the anterior molars are almost identical with those of *T. longirostris*, the posterior lower molar is very similar to that of *M. latidens*, which in its turn approaches *Elephas (Stegodon) clifi* (fig. 22) very closely. In *M. latidens* there are, as a rule, five transverse ridges in the second upper molar and six in the last.

**ELEPHAS.**

The next stage is represented by *Elephas (Stegodon) clifi* (fig. 22) (Pier-cases 35, 36; Table-case 24, Stand K). With this species we reach the true elephants, though the molars have much lower crowns and fewer transverse ridges than in the modern species of *Elephas*; and, in fact, these earlier forms are sometimes separated into another genus called *Stegodon*. Since, however, no real line can be drawn between them and the later types it is perhaps best to call all *Elephas*, but distinguish these earlier forms by adding the name *Stegodon* in brackets as above. In all this group the lower incisors have entirely disappeared, the anterior elongation of the chin at the same time being reduced to a mere peg-like process (figs. 24 & 31), and a greater or less amount of cement (see
above, p. 8) fills the transverse valleys in the crowns of the molars (fig. 23). In Elephas (Stegodon) clifti the number of ridges is greater than in Mastodon latidens. In order to express briefly the number of ridges in the molars of this and other species, a formula is used, thus:—in E. (Stegodon) clifti the formula $M_1^6-7$, $M_2^6$, $M_3^7-8$, means that in the first true molar ($M_1$) there are in the upper jaw 6–7 ridges, while in this case the number in the lower is not known. In the second molar ($M_2$) there are 6 in the upper, the lower being uncertain, while in the last molar ($M_3$) there are 7–8 ridges in both the upper and lower jaw. It will be seen, therefore, that the numerator of the

Fig. 22.

Grinding-surface of an upper molar tooth of Elephas (Stegodon) clifti. From Lower Pliocene, Siwalik Hills, India. Showing six transverse ridges. ½ nat. size.

fractions represents the number of ridges in the upper teeth, the denominator the number in the lower. When the two numbers are given thus, 6–8, it means that the number of ridges varies between them. This formula will be employed below in describing the molars, and a table showing the gradual increase in the number of ridges will be given at the end.

In E. clifti premolars are still developed, but must have been pushed out almost as soon as the milk-molars they replace. The crowns of the molars are quite low, and there is only a small quantity of cement in the valleys between the ridges.

In Elephas (Stegodon) bombifrons and E. (S.) insignis (fig. 23),
which represent the next stage, the transverse ridges are somewhat more numerous and at the same time are higher, and the valleys are filled with cement to a greater degree. Nevertheless,

Vertical longitudinal section of molar tooth of *Elephas (Stegodon) insignis*. From Lower Pliocene, Siwalik Hills, India. Showing the wide valleys between the cross-ridges filled with cement (*a*), the dark band marked *b* being the enamel and beneath that the dentine (*c*). \( \frac{1}{2} \) nat. size.

these differences are not very marked, and in the case of individual teeth it is often difficult to be sure to which of these species they belong. In some of the Stegodont elephants the tusks attain an enormous size: for instance, in a skull of *Elephas (Stegodon) ganesa* (fig. 24), exhibited in the gallery
(Stand K), the tusks project for a distance of 9 ft. 9 in. beyond the sockets.

In the next stage we pass from the low-crowned Stegodont group to animals in which the ridges are considerably higher

Fig. 25.

Grinding-surface of an incomplete upper molar of *Elephas planifrons*. From Lower Pliocene, Siwalik Hills, India. \( \frac{3}{4} \) nat. size.

and the valleys completely filled with cement; this is called the Loxodont group. The most primitive member is *Elephas planifrons* (figs. 25 & 26) (Pier-case 34; Table-case 24), in which

Fig. 26.

Vertical longitudinal section of molar tooth of *Elephas planifrons*, showing the deeper and narrower valleys completely filled with cement (a). The enamel-layer is marked b, the dentine c. \( \frac{1}{3} \) nat. size.

the posterior molars may have as many as twelve ridges. This is the last of the elephants in which premolars have been
observed; these teeth are small and closely crowded up beneath the milk-molars, so that when those teeth are greatly worn the premolars are exposed to view, and are no doubt shed as soon as, or even before, the posterior part of the milk-molars they should replace.

The species *E. meridionalis* (fig. 27) (Pier-case 33; Table-case 20), of which remains are found in the Pliocene of Middle and South Europe, seems to be closely related to *E. planifrons*, and is in about the same stage of evolution, or perhaps a little more advanced. The last molars may have 13–14 ridges, and in some cases approach the condition seen in *E. hysudricus*. *E. meridionalis* attained enormous dimensions, some individuals probably standing about 15 feet in height at the shoulder. Remains of this species occur in the Forest Bed of Norfolk (see Table-case 20) and in the Upper Pliocene deposits of the Val d'Arno and the Auvergne. According to Leith Adams (‘British Fossil Elephants,’ p. 232), the molars are distinguished by the following characters:—“Crowns very broad; columns short as compared with *E. antiquus*, and generally as compared with *E. primigenius*; the enamel of the discs thick and rarely crimped, but usually uneven, looped or channelled; plates wide apart, with thick wedges of cement.” Numerous teeth of this species are shown in Table-case 20.

In this species when the plates come into wear the lateral
columns tend to fuse into laminae while the central column remains for a time distinct and more or less circular in section (see fig. 27); in *E. antiquus* the lateral columns tend to remain annular, while the medials unite into a lamella (fig. 28).

Returning to the Indian series, the next stage may be taken as represented by *Elephas hysudricus* (Pier-case 33), in which there is a considerable increase in the height of the teeth and in the number of the ridges. The skull is in many respects similar to that of *E. maximus* (*E. indicus*), the modern Indian elephant, which may have been its direct descendant. *E. hysudricus*, like *E. meridionalis*, sometimes attained a very large size. This species occurs at the end of the Pliocene and

Fig. 28.

Grinding-surface of second lower molar of *Elephas antiquus* from the Pleistocene of Grays, Essex. \( \frac{1}{3} \) nat. size.

perhaps in the early Pleistocene beds of the Narbada. Contemporary with it was *E. antiquus* (fig. 28) (Pier-case 33; Table-cases 19, 19 A), an elephant found in the late Pliocene and early Pleistocene of Europe. This species, in which the molar teeth are relatively small and tusks nearly straight, sometimes attained a gigantic size. A skeleton recently found at Upnor, near Rochester (Pier-case 31), indicates an animal standing about fifteen feet high at the shoulder, the humerus being 4 ft. 4 in. long (1.322 meters), a foot longer than the humerus of an African Elephant said to have been 11 ft. 4 in. high at the shoulder. A variety of this species, *E. antiquus recki* from East Africa, likewise attained a gigantic size. *E. zulu* from Zululand is probably a related form. In India *E. antiquus* is
represented by *E. namadicus*; in this species the skull is peculiar from the development of a sort of overfolded ridge on its frontal portion, forming an overhanging fold on the forehead (see Pier-case 34). In the changes that took place in the distribution of land and water at the end of the Pliocene and the beginning of the Pleistocene, portions of the regions inhabited by *Elephas antiquus* and its varieties became isolated as islands, and in these restricted habitats the species became dwarfed, and the dwarf forms in the different islands at the same time became specifically distinct from one another. Instances of these small forms are *Elephas melitensis*, *E. mnaidriensis*, *E. cypriotes*, and *E. creticus* (Table-cases 17 A, 21, 21 A). *E. melitensis* and *E. mnaidriensis* are found in Malta. Of the first-named species a small form, sometimes called *E. falconeri*, did not stand more than about three feet high at the shoulder. The ridge-formula of the molar teeth is: $M_1^{5-9}/3\text{-}5$, $M_2^{10}/10$, $M_3^{12-13}/12-13$. A large collection of remains of these species, obtained by Admiral Spratt and Professor Leith Adams, is shown in Table-cases 21 & 21 A. *E. mnaidriensis* is also found in Sicily; probably this species, which is larger than *E. melitensis*, represents the intermediate stage between it and *E. antiquus*. *E. cypriotes* from Cyprus and *E. creticus* from Crete were both discovered and described by Miss D. M. A. Bate, who collected the specimens shown in Table-case 17 A.

Turning again to the main line, we find that *Elephas hysudricus* probably passed into some such species as *E. armeniacus* (Table-case 17), which in many respects is intermediate between the Mammoth (*E. primigenius*) (Pier-cases 30–32; Table-cases 17–19) and the living Indian Elephant (*E. maximus*). The Mammoth seems to represent the highest pitch of evolution attained in the Elephantidae, being in some respects in advance even of the Indian Elephant. It is here that we meet with the greatest number of ridges in the molars (fig. 29), the formula being $M_1^{9-15}/9-15$, $M_2^{14-16}/14-16$, $M_3^{18-27}/18-27$. These teeth represent the culmination of the long series of changes above described, all tending to increase the efficiency of the molars.
as grinding organs. The great size, and especially height, of the crown gives them a prolonged period of wear, while the numerous alternating plates of enamel, dentine, and cement, of different degrees of hardness, ensure that the grinding-surface will remain sufficiently rough for its purpose throughout the period during which the tooth remains in use. The Mammoth was a very widely-distributed form, being found all over Northern Europe, Asia, and America, and it seems to have been particularly abundant in Siberia and the islands to the north, where remains occur in great abundance, and whence the tusks are actually exported for commercial purposes. The extinction of the Mammoth appears to have been a comparatively recent event, and in Siberia portions of carcases with the skin and flesh in good preservation are found in the frozen tundras. An instance of this kind is illustrated by drawings and photographs on the pillar between Pier-cases 31–32. In this instance the animal seems to have fallen into a hole and to have died in its efforts to scramble out. The mouth was found still filled with the grass on which the animal was browsing at the time when it met with the accident. This individual, restored and mounted in the attitude in which it was found, is now exhibited in the Academy of Sciences at Petrograd, and shows with many others that the Mammoth was covered with a reddish-brown wool and long dark hair, while the tail ended in a large tuft of hair. A piece of the skin with its
woolly covering and some of the long hair are shown in Pier-case 31. Further confirmation of this peculiarity of the Mammoth is found in the rude sketches scratched on ivory by early Man, who was evidently quite familiar with the animal. A reproduction of one of these early drawings is shown near Wall-case 1.

The finest Mammoth skull hitherto collected in England is shown in Case M: this specimen was obtained from the Pleistocene brick-earth at Ilford in Essex. Most of the skeleton seems to have been found with it, but the bones were unfortunately destroyed before their interest was recognised. The tusks in this skull are 10 feet 6 inches in length beyond the sockets.

In North America several species of Mammoth occur, such as *E. imperator* and *E. jeffersoni*; some of these attained a
much larger size than *E. primigenius*, and possessed teeth of a more primitive character, approximating to those of the probably ancestral *E. meridionalis* and *E. hysudricus*.

The Indian elephant, *E. maximus* (fig. 30 A) (Stands G, J), one of the two surviving species of the suborder, is found in India, Ceylon, and the Malay Peninsula to Sumatra. The chief peculiarities of the species, distinguishing it from the African elephant, are the flatness of the forehead, the comparatively small ears, the presence of a single finger-like process at the front of the end of the trunk (fig. 31 A). As might be expected from the wide range of this species, different local forms can be distinguished, and in some cases these have even been regarded as specifically distinct, as, for instance, the elephant of Sumatra,

![Skull of the African Elephant](image)

Skull of the African Elephant (*Elephas africanus*). About \(\frac{1}{5}\) nat. size.

which has been called *E. sumatranus*. It seems, however, that all are merely geographical races of the same animal. The Ceylon form is said to be, as a rule, tuskless, and, although tusk-bearing forms do occur in the island, they may be either animals imported from the mainland of India or the result of former interbreeding with such. In India, also, some individuals, called Muchnas, are tuskless or have very small tusks (see mounted skin, Stand G). The Sumatran type differs in being rather more slightly built, and in possessing a rather longer trunk and more expanded end to its tail. The elephants from Further India and the Malay Peninsula are probably also a distinct
race which seems especially liable to produce albino forms, the white elephants of Siam and Burma being well known.

The origin of the other living species of elephant, *Elephas africanus* (fig. 31), is not very clearly known, owing to the want of fossil remains. Several closely-allied species, e.g. *E. atlanticus*, have been described from the Pleistocene of Algeria, but no form that can be regarded as ancestral has been found in the Tertiary Beds of Africa. It is now generally supposed that *E. africanus* arose from some at present unknown Stegodont, and not as previously suggested from an *E. antiquus*-like animal in which the molar teeth were already more complex.

The African Elephant (Central Hall) to-day ranges very widely over Africa south of the Sahara, but fossil remains have been found in Northern Africa and in the south of Europe. It is distinguished by its convex forehead (Stands H, I), its very large ears, and by the presence of two finger-like processes on the tip of the trunk (see fig. 32 B). The molar teeth are considerably simpler than those of the Indian species (see fig. 30 B), the ridges being fewer in number and widening out in the middle in a peculiar manner; the teeth also are relatively smaller than in other elephants.

It has lately been shown that, although there is only a single species of African Elephant, nevertheless, in different parts of the continent, there are different local races which may perhaps be regarded as subspecies, and are in fact species in the making. Differences in the form of the skull of these different races can be detected, but the most striking characters distinguishing them from one another are the size and shape of the ears.
According to Mr. Lydekker the distinctive features of these local races are:—

I. In the Addo Bush, or East Cape, Elephant (*Elephas africanus capensis*) the ears are rather small, somewhat square in shape, with rounded corners, and a small, sharply pointed, angular lappet at the lower angle. The forehead falls away towards the temples, so as to appear highly arched. Several young individuals of this variety are now shown in the Central Hall.

II. The West Cape Elephant (*E. a. toxotis*) has the ears much larger (4 ft. 5 in. in a female 8 ft. 8 in. high), longer, and semi-oval in shape; although, as in the preceding race, sharply inflected at the lappet. (Later regarded by Lydekker as a synonym of I.)

III. In the Matabeleland race (*E. a. selousi*) the ear is much less elliptical than in the West Cape Elephant, and approaches more to that of the Camerun race, but agrees with that of the former in that the lappet underhangs the jaw and chin.

IV. In the West African Elephant (*E. a. cyclotis*), typically from South Cameruns, the ears are very large, but of quite different shape, the contour being oval and the lappet in the form of half-ellipse. The skin has a mosaic-like appearance, and its colour is paler grey than in most other races. The Congo Elephant, which comes very close to this type, has long and slender tusk.

V. The Masai Elephant (*E. a. knochenhaueri*), typically from German East Africa, has small triangular ears, with the lappet angulated and pointed. The exhibited specimen (which stands 11 ft. 4 in. in height, with ears measuring 4 ft. 2½ in. by 3 ft. 5 in.) may belong to this race.

VI. In the Aberdare Elephant (*E. a. peeli*), typically from the Aberdare Mountains, British East Africa, the ears are pear-shaped, with the lappet very long, although somewhat rounded at the tip.

VII. The Lake Rudolf Elephant (*E. a. cavendishi*) is nearly allied to the last, but has broader ears, in which the lappet is shorter.

VIII. In the Abyssinian, or Sudan, Elephant (*E. a. oxyotis*) the ears form an elongated triangle, with the upper border
rounded and the lappet very sharply pointed and angular. This elephant attains very large dimensions.

IX. The N. Somali Elephant (*E. a. orleansi*), on the other hand, is small, with the upper border of the small ears straight and the lappet short and distinctly defined.

X. In the West Sudan Elephant (*E. a. rothschildi*) the ears are in some respects intermediate between those of the Abyssinian and those of the West African race, although approximating to the former in the shape of the lappet.

XI. The Albert Nyanza Elephant has been separated as *E. a. albertensis* and is characterised by the unusually short and broad skull.

A dwarf elephant (*Elephas pumilio*) is known from the Congo region, and another species (*Elephas fransseni*), the so-called Water Elephant, from the neighbourhood of Lake Leopold II., Congo, may also have been a small form. Specimens of the tusks of this last species are shown in the Central Hall and the mounted skin is in the East Corridor.

RELATIONSHIPS OF THE PROBOSCIDEA.

The discovery of the Eocene Proboscidea proves that, although the elephants are no doubt rightly included among the Ungulata or hoofed-animals, they are at the same time very widely separated from the other members of that group, or at least from the existing members of it, and seem to have formed an independent series from the earliest Tertiary times, when they probably arose from some quite generalised form of the primitive group called the Condylarthra. A very early side-branch from the Proboscidean stem is probably represented by the Sirenia or Sea-cows, aquatic animals which, though now as unlike elephants as possible, still possess a number of anatomical peculiarities in common with them, so that this relationship was recognised long ago. Recently a number of Sireniens have been found in the Eocene strata of Egypt (Pier-case 30), one in the same horizon as *Moeritherium*. These early Sireniens are much less specialised than the existing forms, having the full series of teeth and a complete pelvis, and probably a
functional hind limb. In many points they resemble *Moeritherium*, as, for instance, in the form of the brain, teeth, and pelvis; and it seems likely that both they and *Moeritherium* had a common ancestor in Lower Eocene times. It was probably a swamp-living creature, some of whose descendants became gradually more and more exclusively aquatic in their habits, thus giving rise to the Sirenia, while others became exclusively terrestrial and gave rise to the elephants as described above.

**Table showing the Ridge-formula of the True Molars in the Approximate Line of Descent of the Elephants from *Moeritherium***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M 1</th>
<th>M 2</th>
<th>M 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Moeritherium</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Paleomastodon</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tetrabelodon angustidens</em></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;  &quot; <em>longirostris</em></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mastodon cautleyi</em></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;  &quot; <em>latidens</em></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Elephas (Stegodon) cliffi</em></td>
<td>6-7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;  &quot; &quot; <em>bombifrons</em></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6-7</td>
<td>8-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;  &quot; &quot; <em>insignis</em></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>8-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Elephas planifrons</em></td>
<td>7-10</td>
<td>8-12</td>
<td>9-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;  &quot; <em>hysudricus</em></td>
<td>9-12</td>
<td>10-12</td>
<td>13-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;  &quot; <em>maximus</em></td>
<td>9-15</td>
<td>14-16</td>
<td>18-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;  &quot; <em>primigenius</em></td>
<td>9-15</td>
<td>14-16</td>
<td>18-27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A series of specimens illustrating the gradual increase in the number of ridges on the molars is shown in Table-case 24.
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